# ORIGINAL PAPER

Jeongmoo Park . Young Koung Lee . Bong Kyoon Kang . Won Il Chung

# Co-transformation using a negative selectable marker gene for the production of selectable marker gene-free transgenic plants

Received: 25 September 2003 / Accepted: 6 August 2004 / Published online: 22 September 2004 *#* Springer-Verlag 2004

Abstract A negative selectable marker gene, codA, was successfully co-transformed with a GUS reporter gene to develop selectable marker gene-free transgenic plants. The pNC binary vector contained a T-DNA harboring the codA gene next to the nptII gene, while a second binary vector, pHG, contained a GUS reporter gene. Tobacco plants (Nicotiana tabacum cv. Samsun NN) were co-transformed via the mixture method with Agrobacterium tumefaciens LBA4404 strains harboring pNC and pHG, respectively. Seeds harvested from the co-transformants were sown on germination media containing 5-fluorocytosine (5-FC). Analysis of the progeny by GUS staining and PCR amplification revealed that all of the 5-FC-resistant  $R_1$ plants were codA free, and that the codA gene segregated independently of the GUS gene. Because codA-free seedlings developed normally on 5-FC-containing medium, we suggest that co-transformation with negatively selectable markers is a viable method for the production of easily distinguished, selectable marker gene-free transgenic plants.

# Introduction

Agrobacterium-mediated gene-transfer methods have the advantages of high transformation efficiency, limited transfer of T-DNA sequences, and relatively stable transfer of long T-DNA inserts. Researchers are able to easily

Communicated by C. Möllers

J. Park . Y. K. Lee . W. I. Chung (*\**) Department of Biological Sciences, Korea Advanced Institute of Science and Technology, 373-1 Guseong-dong, Yuseong-gu, Daejeon, 305-701, Republic of Korea e-mail: wichung@kaist.ac.kr Tel.: +82-42-869-2624 Fax: +82-42-869-5624

B. K. Kang

Research Institute for Subtropical Agriculture and Biotechnology, Cheju National University, Jeju, 690-756, Republic of Korea

handle transgenes in small binary vectors, in which selectable marker genes and target genes are usually loaded side by side between the T-DNA borders. Once transgenic plants are produced and selected according to the appropriate antibiotic or herbicide resistances, the selectable resistance gene becomes superfluous, and may cause problems such as limitations on the use of the same selectable marker genes for the addition of further transgenic traits, or even raise concerns regarding the release of the selectable products into the environment following commercialization of the transgenic plants (Puchta et al. [2000\)](#page-5-0).

Several methods have been developed for the elimination of selectable marker genes in the final plant product. One promising method involves site-specific recombination-based systems such as the phage-derived cre/loxP system, the yeast derived R/RS system, and maize Ac/Ds system. However, at present their applications are limited to a few model plants, such as Arabidopsis (Gleave et al. [1999](#page-5-0); Endo et al. [2002](#page-5-0); Goldsbrough et al. [1993\)](#page-5-0).

Another possible method for eliminating selectable marker genes is based on co-transformation. In these systems, selectable marker genes and target genes are not loaded between the same pair of T-DNA borders. Instead, they are loaded into separate T-DNAs, which are expected to segregate independently in a Mendelian fashion (Framond et al. [1986;](#page-5-0) McKnight et al. [1987](#page-5-0); Vain et al. [2003](#page-5-0)). The advantages of co-transformation methods include the high adaptability of conventional, unmodified Agrobacterium-mediated gene-transfer methods and easier handling of the binary vectors because the two T-DNA are separated and, hence, target gene T-DNA can be handled independently of selectable marker gene T-DNA. This method depends on co-transformation efficiency and the independent integration of T-DNA into the plant genome. Generally, the co-transformation efficiency is in the range of 30–50%, which is acceptable for practical applications (Depicker et al. [1985](#page-5-0); McCormac et al. [2001;](#page-5-0) Komari et al. [1996](#page-5-0)).

Negative selectable marker genes such as iaaH, codA, argE, and  $P450<sub>SUI</sub>$  can be used to kill or inhibit the growth of the transgenic plants. Isopentinyl transferase converts naphthalene acetamide to naphthalene acetic acid, resulting in the inhibition of normal plant growth (Karlin-Neumann et al. [1991;](#page-5-0) Eklof et al. [2000\)](#page-5-0). Cytosine deamidase (CodA), ArgE, and  $P450<sub>SUI</sub>$  convert nontoxic 5-fluorocytosine (5-FC), acetyl phosphinothricin, and proherbicide R4702 into toxic 5-FU, phosphinothricin, and the herbicide R4702 metabolite, respectively (Schlaman and Hooykaas [1997](#page-5-0); Koprek et al. [1996](#page-5-0); O'Keefe et al. [1994;](#page-5-0) Werck-Reichhart et al. [2000\)](#page-5-0). So, they can be used to easily sort transgenic plants (or seeds) from non-transgenic ones.

In this study, we combined negative selection with a cotransformation system with the aim of developing a practical method for identifying transgenic plants without large-scale PCR. We used the mixture method of transformation, despite its slightly lower co-transformation efficiency versus the single-strain method, because the mixture method is simpler and practically applicable. We transformed tobacco (Nicotiana tabacum cv. Samsun NN) with a mixture of two *Agrobacterium* strains: one containing the nptII gene and codA gene and the other containing a GUS reporter gene. Co-transformation efficiencies among  $R_0$  plants transformed with different concentrations of the two Agrobacterium strains were compared by GUS staining and PCR.  $R_1$  seeds from each co-transformant were germinated on medium containing 5-FC. The surviving  $R_1$  seedlings were transferred to pots, and their PCR and GUS staining patterns were analyzed. With this associated system, selectable marker gene-free transgenic plants were successfully isolated through negative selection.

## Materials and methods

Construction of vectors pNC and pHG

Unless otherwise mentioned, vectors were constructed using the standard techniques described by Sambrook et al. [\(1989](#page-5-0)). The codA gene was amplified from Escherichia coli JM109 genomic DNA, and the *mas* promoter was amplified from pTiAch5 of A. tumefaciens, using the following primers:

- codA forward: GTGGATCCATGTCGAATAACGC
- codA reverse: GTGAGCTCTCAACGTTTGTAATC-GATGGC
- Pmas forward: GGTCTAGAGGCCAACA-**GAGCCTGGC**
- Pmas reverse: GAGGATCCCGATTTGGTGTATC-GAGATT

PCR products were cloned into pGEMT-easy vectors (Promega), and the sequences were verified by direct sequencing. The respective primers introduced BamHI and SacI sites at both ends of the *codA* PCR product and *XbaI* and BamHI sites at both ends of the mas promoter product. To produce pNC, the 1.3-kb *BamHI/SacI codA* fragment was ligated between the *BamHI* and *SacI* sites of pBI121, resulting in pBI121codA. The 2.3-kb HindIII fragment from pMAT21, including a kanamycin resistance gene, was ligated into the HindIII sites of the pCB301 minibinary vector, resulting in pN (Xiang et al. [1999](#page-5-0)). Threepoint ligation was performed with the BamHI/EcoRI fragment of pBI121codA, the XbaI/BamHI fragment of the mas promoter, and the XbaI/EcoRI fragment of pN, resulting in pNC. For production of pHG, the PvuII fragment of pINDEX3, which contains an enhanced hygromycin resistance gene, was ligated to the PvuII fragment of pBluescript SK+ to generate the pH binary vector. The EcoRI/HindIII fragment of pBI121, which includes the GUS gene, was then ligated to the EcoRI/ HindIII fragment of pH, resulting in pHG.

#### Agrobacterium handling

Competent A. tumefaciens LBA4404 was transformed with pNC and pHG binary vectors by the freeze-thaw method (Chen et al. [1994](#page-5-0)), and transformants were selected on YEP media containing kanamycin (25 mg/l). Transformation was confirmed with restriction analysis and PCR amplification of plasmids mini-prepped from each Agrobacterium strain (An et al. [1988](#page-5-0)).

Tobacco transformation and negative selection

Tobacco plants were transformed by the leaf-disk method (Horsch et al. [1985\)](#page-5-0). Liquid Agrobacterium cultures were quantified by spectrophotometry at  $\lambda$ =600 nm and 0.5, 1, 2, 5, and 10 ml of the pNC-harboring strain were mixed with 1-ml aliquots of the pHG-harboring strain. Each harvested mixture was resuspended in 20 ml liquid MS medium and used directly for co-cultivation. Shoots were regenerated on shoot-inducing medium containing kanamycin (100 mg/l).

Negative selection was performed with  $R_1$  seeds on MS medium containing 1% sucrose and 250 mg/l 5-fluorocytosine (Sigma, added directly to autoclaved, warm medium). After 7 days of seeding, resistant seedlings were transferred to pots and grown in a greenhouse.

GUS assay of transformants

Detached leaves or seedlings were infiltrated with GUS reaction solution  $[100 \text{ mM } \text{NaH}_2\text{PO}_4, 10 \text{ mM } \text{Na}_2\text{EDTA}$ , 0.5 mM potassium ferrocyanide, 0.5 mM potassium ferricyanide,  $0.1\%$  Triton X-100 (pH 7.0), and 40  $\mu$ g/ml X-Gluc], using a laboratory aspirator (Jefferson et al. [1987](#page-5-0)). After incubation overnight at 37°C, chlorophyll was removed by bleaching in absolute ethanol.

#### <span id="page-2-0"></span>PCR analyses of transformants

PCRs were performed with the AccuPower PCR Premix (Bioneer). Each reaction mixture (20 μl) consisted of 10 mM Tris-HCl (pH 9.0), 1.5 mM  $MgCl_2$ , 40 mM KCl, 250 μM dNTPs, and 1 U Taq DNA polymerase. Primers for codA-specific PCR were as listed above, and the GUS primers are as follows:

- GUS forward: ATGTTACGTCCTGTAGAAACC
- GUS reverse: CCTGTTGATCCGCATCACG-CAGTTC

Amplifications were carried out in a GeneAmp 2400 as follows: pre-cycling for 2 min at 94°C, followed by 35 cycles of 20 s at 94 $\degree$ C, 20 s at 50 $\degree$ C, and 40 s at 94 $\degree$ C. PCR products were run in 0.7% agarose gel, stained with ethidium bromide dye, and visualized with a UV illuminator.

#### Southern hybridization analysis

Total DNA was extracted from 0.5 g of young leaves, using the method of Dellaporta et al. ([1983\)](#page-5-0). Ten micrograms of genomic DNA were digested with EcoRI, electrophoresed, and transferred to  $Hybond-N^+$  nylon membranes (Amersham), using the capillary transfer method. Fixing DNA to the membrane was done by baking for 2 h at 80°C. The membrane was hybridized overnight at  $42^{\circ}$ C with the 1.2-kb  $[^{32}P]$ -labeled GUS gene fragment amplified by PCR using specific primers 5′- TCATTGTTTGCCTCCCTGCTGCGG-3′ and 5′-GGAT-CAACAGGTGGTTGCAACTGG-3′.

#### **Results**

Transformation of tobacco with binary vectors and analysis of  $R_0$  plants

Vector pNC contained a single T-DNA harboring the nptiI gene, and the codA gene fused with the mas promoter (Fig. 1). Vector pHG contained the GUS reporter gene.



Fig. 1 Schematic maps of selectable marker gene T-DNA regions of pNC and pHG. Arrows indicate PCR primers used to confirm transgenic plants. The underlined portion of GUS gene, the 1.2-kb fragment amplified by PCR, was used as a probe for Southern blot analysis. RB Right border; LB left border; Pmas promoter of the mannopine synthase gene from pTiAch5; *Pnos* and *Tnos* promoter and terminator, respectively, of the nopaline synthase gene; hph hygromycin phosphotransferase; P3535 and T35 doubly-enhanced CaMV 35S promoter and terminator, respectively

Table 1 Co-transformation efficiency depended upon concentration ratio of LBA4404(pNC) to LBA4404(pHG). Data are mean values of three replications

| $pNC/pHG$ (ml) $0.5/1$ |              | 1/1          | 2/1             | 5/1            | 10/1 | 5/0  |  |
|------------------------|--------------|--------------|-----------------|----------------|------|------|--|
| GUS+/GUS-              | 43/36        | 35/45        | 22/58           | 5/75           | 1/79 | 0/81 |  |
| co-tf $(\%)$           | $54^{\circ}$ | $44^{\rm a}$ | 28 <sup>b</sup> | 6 <sup>c</sup> |      |      |  |

a,b<sub>Values</sub> are significantly different at 5% level by Duncan's multiple range test

The mixture method, which uses a mixture of Agrobacterium strains to deliver multiple T-DNAs to plants cells, was used to introduce pNC and pHG binary vectors into the tobacco plants (Komari et al. [1996;](#page-5-0) Depicker et al. [1985](#page-5-0); Petit et al. [1985](#page-5-0)). The highest co-transformation efficiency was achieved with an equal mixture of the two Agrobacterium strains. For the experimental transformations, 1 ml pNC and 1 ml pHG  $(Ab_{600}=1)$  were mixed and used to infect the tobacco plants. Consistent with previous reports, the maximal co-transformation efficiency was 40–  $50\%$  of the total kanamycin-resistant (Km<sup>R</sup>) regenerated tobacco shoots (Table 1). Co-transformants were GUS positive and yielded codA-specific fragments, following PCR amplification (data not shown). Among 21 cotransformed  $R_0$  plants infected with mixture of two Agrobacteria [of which ratio was 0.5/1(pNC/pHG)], ten were randomly selected for further characterization of their segregation properties and negative selection patterns. Among these ten  $Km<sup>R</sup> R<sub>0</sub>$  plants, seven lines (nchg1–7) contained both the GUS and codA/nptII genes, two lines (nchg8 and -9) contained the GUS and nptII genes, and one line (nchg10) had the codA/nptII gene alone. The latter three  $R_0$  plants were included as controls (Table 2).

T-DNA segregation and analysis of selectable marker gene-free seedlings after negative selection

All ten transgenic plants were morphologically normal and showed full fertility. Seeds were harvested from them and

Table 2 Segregation of 5-fluorocytosine (5-FC) resistance and GUS expression in the  $R_1$  progenies of tobacco transformants. Data are mean values of three replications

| Line number<br>$(R_0)$ | $5$ - $FC^R$ |              | $5-FCS$          |            | $5-FCR$ :5- | $GUS+$ : |
|------------------------|--------------|--------------|------------------|------------|-------------|----------|
|                        | GUS<br>$^+$  | <b>GUS</b>   | GUS<br>$^+$      | <b>GUS</b> | $FC^{S}$    | $GUS-$   |
| nchgl                  | 11           | 11           | 6                | 20         | 22:26       | 17:31    |
| nchg <sub>2</sub>      | 18           | 5            | 30               | 9          | 23:39       | 48:14    |
| nchg3                  | 18           | $\mathbf{0}$ | 28               | $\theta$   | 18:28       | 46:0     |
| nchg4                  | 16           | 4            | 17               | 4          | 20:21       | 33:8     |
| nchg5                  | 0            | 7            | $\mathbf{0}$     | 67         | 7:67        | 0:74     |
| nchg <sub>6</sub>      | 0            | $\theta$     | 15               | 5          | 0:20        | 15:5     |
| nchg7                  | 11           | 4            | 31               | 13         | 15:44       | 42:17    |
| nchg8                  | 32           | 7            | $\boldsymbol{0}$ | $\Omega$   | 39:0        | 32:7     |
| nchg9                  | 34           | 12           | $\theta$         | $\theta$   | 46:0        | 34:12    |
| nchg10                 | 0            | 5            | $\boldsymbol{0}$ | 50         | 5:50        | 0:55     |

<span id="page-3-0"></span>Fig. 2 Discrimination of  $R_1$ seedlings germinated on negative selection medium containing 5-fluorocytosine (5-FC)  $(250 \text{ mg/l}) (\vec{A}, \vec{B})$ 



FC<sup>R</sup> seedlings and 5-FC<sup>S</sup> seedlings were similar except in line nchg1, which was thought to be the result of linked

germinated on media containing the negative selection agent, 5-FC. Because 5-fluorouracil (5-FU), a deaminated product of 5-FC by the CodA enzyme, inhibits normal development of seedlings, only transgenic plants harboring the codA gene showed abnormal seedling development (Fig. 2a, b). Following negative selection, the segregation ratios for GUS staining (GUS+:GUS−) and resistance to 5- FC [sensitive(S):resistant(R)] varied from 1 to 3 in most cases (Table [2](#page-2-0)). The ratios of GUS+ to GUS− among 5-

integrations of each T-DNAs or multiple integrations of each T-DNAs. These results showed that the codA and GUS genes segregated as independent genetic loci. The codA gene seemed to be solely responsible for abnormal seedling growth, because all seedlings of lines nchg8 and nchg9, which lacked the codA gene, survived normally on media containing 5-FC.

Fig. 3 PCR analysis of 5-FC<sup>R</sup> nchg1  $nch<sub>2</sub>$ nchg<sub>3</sub>  $nchg4$ nchg5 B м ⊳ Goodgoo  $nchg10$ nchg8

 $nchg7$ 

 $R_1$  plants. Genomic DNA from<br>arbitrary 5-FC<sup>R</sup>  $R_1$  plants was used as templates for codA- and GUS-specific PCR amplification. Lane 1 DNA size marker, lane 2 PCR products of each  $R_0$ plant, lane  $3$ –end lane those of  $R_1$  plants. Open arrowheads indicate  $\text{cod}A$ -specific amplification, and closed arrowheads indicate GUS-specific amplification

1566



Fig. 4 Shoot regeneration from tobacco leaflets transformed with indicated ratios of LBA4404(pNC) and LBA4404(pHG). Infection mixtures (20 ml) contained 0, 0.1, 0.5, or 1 ml of pNC ( $Ab_{600}=1$ ), mixed with 1 ml of pHG ( $Ab_{600}=1$ )



Fig. 5 Southern hybridization analysis of  $5-\overline{FC}^R$  nchg7 tobacco transformants. The genomic DNA from 5-FCR nchg7 transgenic tobacco was used for Southern blot analysis. EcoRI digest of 10 μg genomic DNA was hybridized with the 1.2-kb  $\binom{32}{7}$ -labeled coding region of GUS gene amplified by PCR. Lanes 1–6 GUS+ transgenic plants, lanes 7 and 8 GUS− transgenic plants

After negative selection, transgenic plants showing normal growth were PCR-tested for the *codA*- and GUSspecific fragments. All normal survivors lacked  $codA$ specific amplification and also appeared to lack the *nptII* gene, which was next to the codA gene in pNC. The transgenic plants that showed positive GUS staining also showed GUS-specific amplification.

In all transgenic plants,  $5$ -FC-resistant  $R_1$  plants were free of the *codA* gene. GUS gene segregation in  $R_1$  seeds of lines nchg2, -4, -6, and -7 appeared Mendelian, irrespective of the negative selection (Fig. [3](#page-3-0)).

For further affirmation of segregation data, several of the 5-FC-resistant  $R_1$  plants from nchg7 were subjected to Southern hybridization analysis using PCR-amplified fragments as a probe (Fig. [1](#page-2-0)). Six GUS+ transgenic plants (Fig. 5, lanes 1–6) showed one or two bands but two GUS − transgenic plants no bands (Fig. 5, lanes 7 and 8). Two different sizes of bands indicated more than two different insertions of T-DNAs. No band signals were detected by Southern hybridization of the same nylon membrane, using the *codA* gene-specific probe (data not shown).

## **Discussion**

In this study, we showed that selectable marker gene-free  $R_1$  seeds could be successfully discriminated from total  $R_1$ seeds by negative selection using the *codA* gene from E. coli. Co-transformation methods have been posited as possible strategies for the elimination of selectable marker genes (Komari et al. [1996;](#page-5-0) McKnight et al. [1987](#page-5-0)), and several such methods have been reported. However, this is the first report in which negative selection is combined with co-transformation for the purpose of simplifying the identification of selectable gene-free transgenic plants. Negative selection tends to focus on the target gene, because the selection strategy seeks to rule out the progeny with T-DNAs harboring selectable marker genes. Each T-DNA integrated by co-transformation behaves as a Mendelian locus during inheritance (Framond et al. [1986](#page-5-0); McKnight et al. [1987\)](#page-5-0), as confirmed by our observation that the target genes in  $R_0$  plants segregated similarly in both  $5$ -FC $R$  and  $5$ -FC $S$   $R_1$  plants.

Because co-transformation depends on the establishment of an effective Agrobacterium/plant cell interaction, the relative amounts of each Agrobacterium strain in the infection mixture was expected to influence the cotransformation efficiency (Depicker et al. [1985](#page-5-0)). We found that the co-transformation efficiency was highest at similar concentrations of each Agrobacterium, and as their difference increased, the efficiency of co-transformation diminished dramatically (Table [1](#page-2-0)). In our experiments, up to  $50\%$  of selected T<sub>0</sub> plants were cotransformed when 0.5 ml of pNC  $(Ab<sub>600</sub>=1)$  was mixed with 1 ml of pHG ( $Ab<sub>600</sub>=1$ ) in a total infection mixture of 20 ml. In contrast, a mixture of less than 0.1 ml pNC with 1 ml of pHG resulted in a drastically decreased number of co-transformed  $T_0$  plants (Fig. 4). This may result from infective competition between the two Agrobacterium strains, or from the low absolute concentration of the Agrobacterium strain harboring the selectable marker binary vectors. Our results suggest that concentration ratios in the range of 0.5–1 are optimal for co-transformation via the mixture method.

This negative selection method by codA gene will allow researchers to easily narrow their search for selectable marker-free transgenic plants without massive amounts of <span id="page-5-0"></span>PCR analyses. In addition, because the viability is equal to the inverse of the selectable marker T-DNA segregation ratio, analysis of negative selection results may provide clues about the molecular arrangement of selectable marker T-DNA in transgenic plants. Indeed, the GUS staining and PCR results suggested that our segregations were nearly Mendelian in nature.

Taken together, our results suggest that negative selection and co-transformation may provide a simple, effective method for developing selectable marker genefree transgenic plants that may be identified as such, without extensive, time-consuming assays.

Acknowledgements This study was supported by a grant from BioGreen21 project from Rural Development Administration and CFGC project from Ministry of Science and Technology.

### **References**

- An G, Evert PR, Mitra A, Ha SB (1988) Plant molecular biology manual. Kluwer, Dordrecht
- Chen H, Nelson RS, Sherwood JL (1994) Enhanced recovery of transformants of Agrobacterium tumefaciens after freeze-thaw transformation and drug selection. Biotechniques 16:664–669
- Dellaporta SL, Wood J, Hicks JB (1983) A plant DNA minipreparation: version II. Plant Mol Biol Rep 1(4):19–21
- Depicker A, Herman L, Jacobs A, Schell J, Montagu MV (1985) Frequencies of simultaneous transformation with different T-DNAs and their relevance to the Agrobacterium/plant cell interaction. Mol Gen Genet 201:477–484
- Eklof S, Astot C, Sitbon F, Moritz T, Olsson O, Sanberg G (2000) Transgenic tobacco plants co-expressing Agrobacterium iaa and ipt genes have wild-type hormone levels but display both auxin- and cytokinin-overproducing phenotypes. Plant J 23:279–284
- Endo S, Sugita K, Sakai M, Tanaka H, Ebinuma H (2002) Singlestep transformation for generating marker-free transgenic rice using the ipt-type MAT vector system. Plant J 30:115–122
- Framond AJD, Back EW, Chilton WS, Kayes L, Chilton M (1986) Two unlinked T-DNAs can transform the same tobacco plant cell and segregate in the F1 generation. Mol Gen Genet 202:125–131
- Gleave AP, Mitra DS, Mudge SR, Morris BAM (1999) Selectable marker-free transgenic plants without sexual crossing: transient expression of cre recombinase and use of a conditional lethal dominant gene. Plant Mol Biol 40:223–235
- Goldsbrough AP, Lastrella CN, Yoder JI (1993) Transposition mediated re-positioning and subsequent elimination of marker genes from transgenic tomato. Biotechnology 11:1286–1292
- Horsch RB, Fry JE, Hoffman NL, Eichholtz D, Rogers SG, Fraley RT (1985) A simple and general method for transferring genes into plants. Science 227:1229–1231
- Jefferson RA, Kavanagh TA, Bevan MW (1987) β-Glucuronidase as a sensitive and versatile fusion marker in higher plants. EMBO J 6:3901–3907
- Karlin-Neumann GA, Brusslan JA, Tobin EM (1991) Phytochrome control of the tms2 gene in transgenic Arabidopsis: a strategy for selecting mutants in the signal transduction pathway. Plant Cell 3:573–582
- Komari T, Hiei Y, Saito Y, Murai N (1996) Vectors carrying two separate T-DNAs for co-transformation of higher plants mediated by Agrobacterium tumefaciens and segregation of transformants free from selection markers. Plant J 10:165–174
- Koprek T, McElroy D, Louwerse J,Willams-Carrier R, Lemaux PG (1996) Negative selection systems for transgenic barley (Hordeum vulgare L.): comparison of bacterial codA- and cytochrome P450 gene-mediated selection. Plant J 19:719–726
- McCormac AC, Fowler MR, Chen D, Elliot MC (2001) Efficient cotransformation of Nicotiana tabacum by two independent T-DNA, the effect of T-DNA size and implications for genetic separation. Transgenic Res 10:143–155
- McKnight TD, Lillis MT, Simpson RB (1987) Segregation of genes transferred to one plant cell from two separate Agrobacterium strains. Plant Mol Biol 8:439–445
- O'keefe DP, Tepperman JM, Dean C, Leto KJ, Erbes DL, Odell JT (1994) Plant expression of a bacterial cytochrome P450 that catalyzes activation of a sulfonylurea pro-herbicide. Plant Physiol 105:473–482
- Petit A, Berkaloff A, Tempe J (1985) Multiple transformation of plant cells by Agrobacterium may be responsible for the complex organization of T-DNA in crown gall and hairy root. Mol Gen Genet 202:388–393
- Puchta H (2000) Removing selectable marker genes: taking the shortcut. Trends Plant Sci 5:273–274
- Sambrook J, Frisch EF, Maniatis T (1989) Molecular cloning: a laboratory manual. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press, Cold Spring Harbor
- Schlaman HRM, Hooykaas PJJ (1997) Effectiveness of the bacterial gene codA encoding cytosine deaminase as a negative selectable marker in Agrobacterium-mediated plant transformation. Plant J 11:1377–1385
- Vain P, Afolabi AS, Worland B, Snape JW (2003) Transgene behaviour in populations of rice plants transformed using a new dual binary vector system: pGreen/pSoup. Theor Appl Genet 107:210–217
- Werck-Reichhart D, Hehn A, Didierjean L (2000) Cytochromes P450 for engineering herbicide tolerance. Trends Plant Sci 5:116–123
- Xiang C, Han P, Lutziger I, Wang K, Oliver DJ (1999) A mini binary vector series for plant transformation. Plant Mol Biol 40:711–717